

Acko: A Structural Evidence Review

Document class: Independent research memorandum Prepared for: Internal review, board-level or investment-committee use Subject entity: Acko (Acko General Insurance Limited) (acko.com) Data reference date: 2026-09-20 Generated by: triNetra Research Methodology source: Independent public-source evidence review, reproducible by any researcher using the same public sources Author status: Independent researcher. No affiliation with Acko, its investors, or the reviewing organization. Distribution note: This document is a public-source evidence review. It does not constitute legal, regulatory, medical, or investment advice.

> **METHODOLOGICAL NOTE** > This review was produced by searching public web sources, including the regulator's own published orders and disclosures, the company's own website, independent business press, and consumer review platforms, then sorting each retrieved statement by source reliability before writing any conclusion. No proprietary data, internal company records, or non-public regulatory correspondence was used. Any reviewer with access to a search engine and the company's public disclosure pages can retrace every step below and arrive at the same evidence set, subject only to how much has changed since the reference date above.

1. Purpose and Scope

This document evaluates what can be independently verified about Acko, an Indian digital-first insurance group, from sources available to the public as of the reference date. It covers corporate structure, funding history, marketing and product claims, quantitative performance disclosures, registry status, the automated and AI-assisted parts of its claims process, the language used in its regulatory filings and marketing, and publicly documented incidents or regulatory actions.

It does not cover matters that are not publicly disclosed, such as internal claims adjudication logic, non-public regulatory correspondence, or the company's actual loss ratios by product line beyond what is published. Where a claim could not be corroborated, this document says so rather than assuming it is either true or false. Throughout, a clear line is kept between what a source states (evidence) and what that evidence might mean (interpretation), with the two marked separately in every section that contains both.

2. Evidence Base and Reliability Ranking

Rank	Source type	Availability for this subject
1	Government or regulatory filings	Available. IRDAI's public order against Acko General Insurance Ltd. and its licensing register entries are retrievable, though the underlying order PDF hosted on policyholder.gov.in could not be fetched directly during this review (see Limitations).
2	Primary company publications	Available. acko.com publishes public disclosure pages, a claim settlement ratio page, and marketing pages for each product line.

Rank	Source type	Availability for this subject
3	Sector-equivalent registries (IRDAI claim settlement disclosures)	Partially available. Third-party aggregator sites (Beshak, Ditto) republish IRDAI-sourced claim settlement ratio figures; direct access to IRDAI's own consolidated public disclosure spreadsheet was not completed in this review.
4	Independent commercial registries	Partially available. A Corporate Identification Number for Acko Technology and Services Limited was found via a secondary source; direct MCA portal lookup was not completed in this review.
5	Independent journalism, multiple outlets	Available. Multiple financial and trade press outlets (Inc42, TaxGuru, Angel One, The420.in) covered the funding history and the 2025 IRDAI penalty.
6	Marketing statements, testimonials	Available in volume across acko.com and comparison/aggregator sites; treated with appropriate skepticism throughout.

3. Corporate Background

Acko was founded in November 2016 by Varun Dua, with Ruchi Deepak and Alok Agarwal also named as co-founders in company history accounts. **VERIFIED FACT.** [3] Varun Dua had previously founded Coverfox Insurance Broking Private Limited before starting Acko. **VERIFIED FACT.** [3]

The operating structure is a holding company, Acko Technology and Services Limited ("Acko Tech"), formerly a private limited company, sitting above two licensed subsidiaries: Acko General Insurance Limited, a licensed general insurer, and Acko Life Insurance Limited, which the company's own disclosures describe as pursuing a life insurance license from IRDAI. **VERIFIED FACT,** drawn directly from Acko's own public disclosure page. [4][5] Acko Tech states on its own site that it does not solicit, distribute, sell, or service insurance products itself, and carries no liability for insurance-related services; that function sits with the licensed subsidiaries. **VERIFIED FACT.** [5]

On funding, reported totals vary by aggregator. Inc42 and CB Insights each track different cumulative figures (one describing total funding near \$598 million, another near \$666 million), and a July 2025 Series D round of \$255 million was co-led by General Atlantic and Multiples, according to General Atlantic's own release. **VERIFIED FACT** for the Series D amount and lead investors, since this is a primary-source (investor) statement. [6] The discrepancy in cumulative totals across secondary aggregators is an **OBSERVED PATTERN** common to how startup funding trackers reconcile SAFE notes, secondary sales, and rounds not always disclosed with identical figures; it does not by itself indicate anything irregular about Acko specifically. A reported valuation near \$1.4 billion (roughly Rs 8,880 crore) as of August 2025 appears across multiple aggregator sources but was not corroborated by a primary filing in this review. **LIMITATION:**

no primary valuation document (such as a term sheet or regulatory filing disclosing valuation) was retrieved; the figure rests on secondary financial-data aggregators. [2]

Acko's operating revenue was reported to have crossed Rs 2,000 crore in FY24, a 20 percent increase over the prior year, according to Inc42's reporting, which the outlet states is sourced from regulatory filings. **VERIFIED FACT**, sourced through independent journalism referencing filings. [7]

4. Product Claims: Evidentiary Basis

Acko markets several speed-based claims across its product pages: fast policy issuance (described in some marketing copy as coverage within around two minutes) and rapid claims settlement, with figures ranging from settlement "in under 7 minutes" to "as little as 12 minutes" appearing in different marketing and aggregator contexts, and a broader claim of "98% claim settlements." **VERIFIED FACT** that these statements appear in Acko's marketing and in aggregator descriptions of it; **LIMITATION** that no single, dated, first-party source consolidating and defining all of these headline numbers was retrieved in this review, so their exact scope, the products they apply to, and the measurement window behind each cannot be confirmed independently.

A more specific and important qualification appears on Acko's own claims-process pages: the fastest settlement times apply to a narrow category of minor damage claims, such as a cracked windscreen, a loose bumper, or a damaged side mirror, which the company describes as eligible for instant settlement. Standard claims involving larger repairs, verification, or documentation are described as taking a few days to a few weeks. **VERIFIED FACT**, drawn from Acko's own claims-process page. [8]

KEY OBSERVATION: the headline "minutes" figures used in marketing describe a subset of low-complexity claims, while the majority of claim value and claim complexity sits in the slower, standard-track process. This is a common structure across digital insurers generally (**OBSERVED PATTERN**), not unique to Acko, but the marketing language does not always carry this qualification with equal prominence to the headline number itself. **INTERPRETATION:** a reader encountering only the headline claim, without also seeing the claims-process page, could reasonably form an expectation of settlement speed that does not match the experience for a majority of claims by value, based on the qualification stated in source [8] itself.

5. Quantitative Claims and Traceability

Acko publishes a claim settlement ratio (CSR) page on its own site, titled with reference to FY23-24, but the fetched content of that page did not itself display the numeric ratio or disclose a sample size or calculation method within the retrieved text. **LIMITATION:** this review could not confirm the exact figure or methodology directly from Acko's own page as rendered. [9]

Third-party sources report differing CSR figures depending on product line and year. One aggregator reports Acko's health insurance CSR at 95.75 percent for FY2025-26 with a three-year average of 96.50 percent against a stated industry average of 91.22 percent; a separate report states a 99.98 percent claim settlement ratio for Acko General Insurance for FY2024-25. **VERIFIED FACT** that these figures were published by named aggregator sites referencing IRDAI-sourced data. [10][11] Neither aggregator source retrieved in this review discloses the underlying claim volume, denominator definition (claims reported

versus claims payable), or exact calculation window in the text this review could access. **LIMITATION:** without the denominator and window definitions, the precision implied by figures quoted to two decimal places (for example, 99.98 percent) cannot be independently verified from the sources available to this review.

OBSERVED PATTERN: across the Indian insurance sector generally, claim settlement ratio is a commonly disclosed and commonly marketed metric, and IRDAI itself publishes comparative CSR data annually; a September 2026 press report referencing that year's IRDAI release places Acko among insurers with high reported ratios, alongside other named insurers, while noting some insurers fell below 90 percent. **VERIFIED FACT** that this comparative framing appeared in that press report. [12]

6. Corporate Identity and Registry Verification

Acko General Insurance Limited holds IRDAI registration number 157, issued under Section 3 of the Insurance Act, 1938, with the Certificate of Registration dated 18 September 2017. **VERIFIED FACT**, corroborated by contemporaneous trade press coverage of the license grant and by IRDAI's own published policy wording documents that carry the registration number. [1][13]

The corporate structure separates the licensed insurer from its technology holding parent: Acko Technology and Services Limited (formerly Private Limited), reported with Corporate Identification Number U74110KA2016PLC120161, based in Bengaluru, sits above Acko General Insurance Limited and Acko Life Insurance Limited. **VERIFIED FACT**, sourced from Acko's own public disclosure page content as retrieved. [4] A 2024 regulatory approval by the Competition Commission of India for General Atlantic's acquisition of shareholding in Acko Technology further corroborates this holding-company structure from an independent government source. **VERIFIED FACT**. [14]

LIMITATION: this review did not complete a direct lookup of the CIN against the Ministry of Corporate Affairs master data portal, and relied instead on the CIN as stated in a secondary aggregation of Acko's own disclosure text. A reader seeking registry-grade certainty should verify the CIN and current company status directly on the MCA portal.

7. Artificial Intelligence Component: Oversight Documentation

Acko uses automated, AI-assisted processing in parts of its claims workflow. Third-party technical and industry commentary describes Acko's system as combining optical character recognition, natural language processing, and decision-tree or machine-learning models to extract data from submitted documents, verify information, and approve certain claims automatically, alongside predictive models intended to flag patterns associated with fraud. **VERIFIED FACT** that this description of Acko's claims automation appears in independent industry commentary. [15] **LIMITATION:** this description comes from third-party technology commentary rather than a technical disclosure published by Acko itself, so the exact scope of automation (which claim types, what confidence thresholds, what proportion of claims are decided without human review) could not be independently confirmed from a primary source in this review.

Acko's own claims-process pages state that a claim rejection is communicated with a stated reason, and that in case of disagreement an independent, IRDAI-licensed surveyor may be brought in. **VERIFIED FACT**, from Acko's own published claims support content. [16] This is the clearest documented escalation path

found for a claimant who disputes an automated or human decision. **INTERPRETATION:** the existence of a named escalation route (an independent surveyor) suggests some structured appeal exists within the process, but the source does not specify whether this escalation is offered automatically, only on request, or only after a formal dispute is raised, so how accessible this safeguard is in practice cannot be assessed from the material retrieved.

EVOLUTION OPPORTUNITY: a public technical disclosure describing what proportion of claims are decided by automated systems without human review, and what the escalation and audit trail looks like for an automated rejection, would materially close this gap at what is likely a low disclosure cost, since the underlying process evidently already exists.

8. Claims Language and Regulatory Positioning

Speed- and percentage-based claims (settlement "in minutes," "98% claim settlements," high claim settlement ratios) sit in a category of marketing language that has drawn regulatory scrutiny for insurers in multiple markets when such figures are not clearly scoped or are presented without the underlying denominator. **OBSERVED PATTERN**, not a claim specific to Acko. Applied to Acko specifically, the qualification found on its own claims-process page (that fast settlement applies to a defined subset of minor claims) suggests some internal recognition that the headline figure needs scoping, which is a mitigating fact **INTERPRETATION**, based on evidence in Section 4 above, though it does not establish whether marketing materials outside that specific page carry the same qualification with equal prominence.

Separately, and more concretely, IRDAI issued a formal order against Acko General Insurance Ltd. dated 19 May 2025 (reference IRDAI/E&C/ORD/MISC/68/05/2025), imposing a penalty of Rs 1 crore. **VERIFIED FACT**, corroborated by multiple independent outlets describing the order. [17][18][19] The violations cited include breach of Section 40(1) of the Insurance Act, 1938, and specific provisions of IRDAI's outsourcing and commission regulations, in connection with payments made to Ola Financial Services Private Limited that IRDAI characterized as indirect rewards for policy solicitation at a time when Ola Financial Services was not a licensed insurance intermediary. **VERIFIED FACT**, drawn from the shared description of the order's contents across independent outlets. [17][18] Multiple outlets reporting on the order note that it concerns compliance and outsourcing practices rather than the validity of any individual customer's policy. **VERIFIED FACT**, as this framing is stated directly in that coverage. [19]

LIMITATION: the primary order document, hosted on policyholder.gov.in, could not be directly retrieved during this review due to a connection failure; the description of the order's findings above rests on independent journalism describing that document rather than the document itself.

9. Incident and Safety Protocol Documentation

No dedicated, standalone incident-response or safety-protocol disclosure specific to claims handling failures was located on Acko's own site beyond the general claims-support and rejection-explanation pages already cited in Section 7. **LIMITATION:** this section is best described as partially unassessed; Acko's general customer support and rejection-appeal process is documented, but no public breach, outage, or systemic-failure disclosure was found, and it was not possible to determine from public sources alone whether that absence reflects no such incidents or simply no public disclosure requirement being triggered.

Independent consumer review evidence exists on the complaint side. A Trustpilot listing for acko.com, as retrieved during this review, showed an aggregate rating of 1.3 out of 5 across 89 reviews, with 92 percent of reviews at one star. **VERIFIED FACT**, from direct retrieval of that page. [20] Recurring themes in those reviews include claims being denied or heavily reduced without clear explanation, repeated and escalating documentation demands, unresponsive claim handlers, and prolonged delays in approvals. **VERIFIED FACT** that these are the recurring themes stated in the reviews retrieved. [20] A separate, individually reported social-media complaint alleging fraud and harassment in a claims dispute was also identified. **VERIFIED FACT** that such a post exists and was publicly visible; **LIMITATION** that a single social-media post is an unverified individual account and was not independently corroborated by this review beyond its own existence. [21]

KEY OBSERVATION: the pattern in independent consumer reviews (denial without clear explanation, escalating documentation requests, slow resolution) sits in some tension with the marketing emphasis described in Section 4 on speed and simplicity, though self-selected review platforms such as Trustpilot are understood industry-wide to skew toward dissatisfied customers reporting complaints, which is an **OBSERVED PATTERN** across consumer review platforms generally and not evidence of the true proportion of Acko's claims that go unresolved.

10. Summary Table

Section	Evidence available	Independently verifiable	Status
Corporate and funding facts	Yes	Partially (Series D terms and revenue figures corroborated; cumulative funding and valuation rest on secondary aggregators)	Established
Product and service claims	Yes	Partially (marketing figures found across sources; single consolidated first-party disclosure not retrieved)	Open
Quantitative performance claims	Yes	Partially (CSR figures reported by aggregators; denominator and method not confirmed from primary source)	Open
Corporate identity and registry	Yes	Partially (IRDAI registration corroborated; CIN not confirmed via direct MCA lookup)	Established
AI oversight documentation	Yes	Partially (automation described by third parties; scope and audit trail not confirmed by primary disclosure)	Open

Section	Evidence available	Independently verifiable	Status
Claims language positioning	Yes	Yes (IRDAI order independently corroborated across outlets; marketing scoping found on Acko's own page)	Established
Incident and safety protocol	Partial	Partially (consumer review data verifiable as retrieved; no dedicated incident disclosure located)	Open

This table reflects public documentation as of the reference date stated above and is not a composite score.

11. Limitations

This review could not directly retrieve the IRDAI order document hosted on policyholder.gov.in due to a connection failure at the time of research; its contents are represented here through independent journalism describing that order, not the primary document itself. A direct lookup of Acko Technology and Services Limited's Corporate Identification Number on the Ministry of Corporate Affairs portal was not completed; the CIN cited here comes from a secondary aggregation of Acko's own disclosure text. Acko's own claim settlement ratio page did not yield a visible numeric figure or disclosed methodology in the content retrieved, so the CSR figures used in this review come from third-party aggregators rather than the company's own primary disclosure. A separate attempt to retrieve a claim settlement ratio comparison page from a second aggregator returned a rate-limiting error and could not be completed. This review did not access IRDAI's own consolidated public grievance or Ombudsman complaint statistics broken out by named insurer; sector-wide Ombudsman figures were found, but a per-insurer complaint count for Acko specifically was not located. Consumer review evidence drawn from Trustpilot reflects a self-selected sample of reviewers and should not be read as a statistically representative measure of Acko's overall claims experience.

12. Reproducibility Notes

Toolchain used: general web search and web page retrieval tools, applied to public sources only, with no access to any non-public company or regulatory system.

- 1 Search for "Acko General Insurance IRDAI license" to confirm current registration status and registration number.
- 2 Retrieve the IRDAI order referenced under IRDAI/E&C/ORD/MISC/68/05/2025 directly from policyholder.gov.in or IRDAI's own enforcement order archive, and any more recent orders issued after the reference date.
- 3 Search recent funding and financial press coverage (for example, Inc42, Entrackr, VCCircle, or equivalent Indian business press) for the latest confirmed funding round, revenue, and valuation figures.
- 4 Retrieve Acko's own claim settlement ratio and public disclosure pages directly, rendering the full page rather than a summarized extract, to capture the exact published figures and any stated methodology.

- 5 Look up Acko Technology and Services Limited and Acko General Insurance Limited directly on the Ministry of Corporate Affairs portal (Master Data search) to confirm current CIN, registered address, and company status.
- 6 Search current consumer review platforms (Trustpilot and comparable Indian-market platforms) for the current aggregate rating, review count, and recurring complaint themes, noting the retrieval date.
- 7 Check IRDAI's own annual public disclosure of claim settlement ratios by insurer for the relevant financial year, which is published directly by the regulator.
- 8 Repeat steps 1 through 7 periodically, since funding, regulatory, and review data change over time, and note the new retrieval date in place of the one used here.

13. Evolution Opportunities

- 1 Publish a single, dated first-party page consolidating the claim settlement ratio by product line, with the exact denominator, sample size, and calculation window stated, replacing scattered marketing figures with one authoritative source. This is high impact and low cost, since the underlying data already exists internally and in regulatory filings.
- 2 Publish a plain-language technical disclosure describing which claim categories are decided by automated or AI-assisted systems without human review, what confidence thresholds trigger human escalation, and how a claimant can request that escalation. This is high impact and moderate cost, requiring only disclosure of an existing process rather than a new one.
- 3 Attach explicit scope qualifiers (which claim types, which cities, which conditions) next to every headline speed or percentage marketing claim, rather than only on the dedicated claims-process page. This is moderate impact and low cost.
- 4 Publish or link to the full text of any regulatory order, including the May 2025 IRDAI order, directly from Acko's own public disclosure page rather than leaving it discoverable only through third-party press coverage. This is moderate impact and low cost, since the order is already a public document.
- 5 Publish an aggregated, dated summary of consumer complaint volume and resolution outcomes, comparable to what some regulators require in other markets, to give an independently verifiable counterpoint to self-selected review-platform ratings. This is high impact but higher cost, since it requires new internal reporting infrastructure and willingness to disclose an unfavorable metric.

14. Future Questions

What proportion of Acko's total claim volume, and what proportion of total claim value, falls into the "instant settlement" category described on its claims-process page, versus the standard multi-day track? What confidence threshold or review trigger determines when an AI-assisted claim decision is escalated to a human reviewer, and is that threshold ever adjusted without public notice? What is the exact denominator used in the claim settlement ratios reported by third-party aggregators, and does it match the definition IRDAI uses in its own annual disclosure? Has IRDAI issued any order against Acko since the reference date

of this review, and if so, what did it concern? What is the current, MCA-verified Corporate Identification Number and registration status of Acko Technology and Services Limited and Acko General Insurance Limited? How does Acko's per-insurer complaint volume with the Insurance Ombudsman compare to sector peers of similar policy volume, using IRDAI's or the Council for Insurance Ombudsmen's own published data?

References

- 1 Digital insurance company Acko gets final license from IRDA. MediaNama. <https://www.medianama.com/2017/09/223-acko-final-license-irda/>
- 2 Acko Funding: total funding, rounds and investors. Inc42. <https://inc42.com/company/acko/funding/>
- 3 Varun Dua Success Story: The Journey of Acko's Founder and CEO. Kuvera. <https://kuvera.in/blog/varun-dua-success-story/>
- 4 Public Disclosure. ACKO General Insurance Limited. <https://www.acko.com/public-disclosure/>
- 5 About ACKO: Award-winning insurance. <https://www.acko.com/about-us/>
- 6 ACKO raises USD 255mn in Series D round led by General Atlantic and Multiples. General Atlantic. <https://www.generalatlantic.com/media-article/acko-raises-usd-255mn-in-series-d-round-led-by-general-atlantic-and-multiples/>
- 7 ACKO's Revenue Jumps 20% To Cross INR 2,000 Cr Mark In FY24. Inc42. <https://inc42.com/buzz/ackos-revenue-jumps-20-to-cross-inr-2000-cr-mark-in-fy24/>
- 8 Car Insurance Claim Process: Step-by-Step Guide. Acko. <https://www.acko.com/car-insurance/claims/>
- 9 Claim Settlement Ratio of Insurance Companies. Acko. <https://www.acko.com/claim-settlement-ratio/>
- 10 Acko Health Claim Settlement Ratio. Ditto. <https://joinditto.in/articles/health-insurance/acko-health-insurance-claim-settlement-ratio/>
- 11 Acko General Insurance Claim Settlement Ratio. Beshak. <https://www.beshak.org/insurance/insurance-companies/acko-general-insurance-company-limited/claim-settlement-ratio/>
- 12 IRDAI Releases 2026 Claim Settlement Ratios: Acko, Aditya Birla, Galaxy Lead. Angel One. <https://www.angelone.in/news/market-updates/irdai-releases-2026-claim-settlement-ratios-acko-aditya-birla-galaxy-lead-shriram-iffco-tokio-slip-below-90>
- 13 Stand-Alone Own Damage Private Car Policy. Acko General Insurance Limited, IRDAI-hosted policy document. https://irdai.gov.in/documents/37343/993134/IRDAN157RP0033V01201920_GEN2971.pdf
- 14 CCI Approves General Atlantic Singapore ACK's Acquisition of Shareholding in Acko Technology. AZB Partners. <https://www.azbpartners.com/bank/cci-approves-general-atlantic-singapore-acks-acquisition-of-shareholding-in-acko-technology-subject-to-voluntary-modifications/>
- 15 Acko's AI Journey: From Startup to Industry Disruptor. Digiqt Blog. <https://digiqt.com/blog/acko-insurance-automation/>

- 16 My claim has been rejected. How do I check the reason for rejection? Acko Care. <https://care.acko.com/support/solutions/articles/35000157001-my-claim-has-been-rejected-how-do-i-check-the-reason-for-rejection>
-
- 17 Acko General Insurance Penalized by IRDAI for Regulatory Breaches. TaxGuru. <https://taxguru.in/corporate-law/acko-general-insurance-penalized-irdai-regulatory-breaches.html>
- 18 From Premiums to Penalties: IRDAI Slaps Rs 1 Crore on Acko. The420.in. <https://the420.in/irdai-fines-acko-insurance-1-crore-outsourcing-commission-violations/>
- 19 Acko Fined Rs 1 Crore by IRDAI: What It Means for Your Insurance Policy. Angel One. <https://www.angelone.in/news/market-updates/acko-fined-1-crore-rs-by-irdai-what-it-means-for-your-insurance-policy>
- 20 Acko Reviews. Trustpilot. <https://www.trustpilot.com/review/www.acko.com>
- 21 Anshul Savant, LinkedIn post regarding a claims dispute, https://www.linkedin.com/posts/anshul-savant-860937a4_fraud-insurance-acko-activity-7096785528540315648-rtVW